


10019941.050802

JC10 Rec'd PCT/PTO 07 JAN 2002



FORM PTO-1390 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER: 2001-1003  U.S. APPL. NO. (if known, see 37 CFR 1.5) <b>10/019941</b>
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.: PCT/NL00/00473	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE: 5 JULY 2000	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED: 5 JULY 1999
TITLE OF INVENTION: INSTALLATION AND METHOD FOR UPDATING AN ADDRESS DATABASE WITH RECORDED ADDRESS RECORDS		
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US: Peter Christoffel BEZUIJEN, Dick BRANDT, Erik Wilhelmus Gilles VAN WESTBROEK, Marco Gerardus VAN DER VEER, Frank Auke NAUTA, Helanus Elisabeth Rudolph FRINGS, Petrus Adrianua BRUIJS		
Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:		
1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b. <input type="checkbox"/> c. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. <input type="checkbox"/> 7. <input type="checkbox"/> a. <input type="checkbox"/> b. <input type="checkbox"/> c. <input type="checkbox"/> d. <input type="checkbox"/> 8. <input type="checkbox"/> 9. <input type="checkbox"/> 10. <input type="checkbox"/> Item 11. to 16. below concern document(s) or information included:         11. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 12. <input type="checkbox"/> 13. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 14. <input type="checkbox"/> 15. <input type="checkbox"/> 16. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This is a <b>FIRST</b> submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.  This is a <b>SECOND</b> or <b>SUBSEQUENT</b> submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.  This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).  A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date  A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))  is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau). has been transmitted by the International Bureau. (see attached copy of PCT/IB/308) is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).  A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).  Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau). have been transmitted by the International Bureau. have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired. have not been made and will not be made.  A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).  An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).  A translation of the annexes of the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).  An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.  An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.  A <b>FIRST</b> preliminary amendment. A <b>SECOND</b> or <b>SUBSEQUENT</b> preliminary amendment.  A substitute specification.  A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.  Other items or information:	
International Search Report      Abstract of The Disclosure on a Separate Sheet PCT/IPEA/409 Application Data Sheet		

U.S. APPLICATION NO. <b>10/019941</b>		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/NL00/00473		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. 2001-1003	
17. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted:  <b>BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5)):</b> Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO ..... \$ 1,040.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO ..... \$ 890.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO ..... \$ 740.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) ..... \$ 710.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) ..... \$ 100.00  <div style="text-align: right;"><b>ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =</b></div>				CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY	
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).				\$	130.00
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE	\$	
Total claims	26 - 20 =	6	X \$18.00	\$	108.00
Independent claims	4 - 3 =	1	X \$84.00	\$	84.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS(S) (if applicable)			+ \$280.00	\$	
<b>TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</b>				\$	1,212.00
Reduction of 1/2, if applicant is entitled to Small Entity status under 37 CFR 1.27.				+	\$
<b>SUBTOTAL =</b>				\$	1,212.00
Processing fee of \$130 for furnishing the English translation later than months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR1.492(f)).				\$	
<b>TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</b>				\$	1,212.00
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3 28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property				+	\$
<b>TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =</b>				\$	1,212.00
				Amount to be refunded	
				charged	
a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A check in the amount of \$ <b>1,212.00</b> to cover the above fees is enclosed.			
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Please charge my Deposit Account No. <b>25-0120</b> in the amount of \$ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.			
c.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required by 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. <b>25-0120</b> . A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.			
SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO  <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <b>CUSTOMER No. 00466</b>            YOUNG &amp; THOMPSON            745 South 23rd Street            2nd Floor            Arlington, VA 22202            (703) 521-2297            facsimile (703) 685-0573         </div> <div style="text-align: center;">           January 7, 2002         </div> <div>           By <u><i>Benoît Castel</i></u>            Benoît Castel            Attorney for Applicants            Registration No. 35,041         </div> </div>					

Application Data Sheet

**Application Information**

Application Type::	Regular
Subject Matter::	Utility
Suggested Classification::	
Suggested Group Art Unit::	
CD-ROM or CD-R?::	None
Number of CD disks::	
Number of Copies of CDs::	
Sequence Submission?::	None
Computer Readable Form (CRF)::	No
Number of copies of CRF::	0
Title::	INSTALLATION AND METHOD FOR UPDATING AN ADDRESS DATABASE WITH RECORDED ADDRESS RECORDS
Attorney Docket Number::	2001-1003
Request for Early Publication?::	No
Request for Non-Publication?::	No
Suggested Drawing Figure::	
Total Drawing Sheets::	4
Small Entity?::	No
Latin Name::	
Variety Denomination Name::	
Petition Included?::	No
Petition Type::	
Licensed US Gov't Agency::	
Contract or Grant Numbers::	
Secrecy Order in Parent	No
Appl.?::	

**Applicant Information**

Applicant Authority Type:: Inventor  
 Primary Citizenship Country:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Status:: Full Capacity  
 Given Name:: PETER CHRISTOFFEL  
 Middle Name::  
 Family Name:: BEZUIJEN  
 City of Residence:: AMSTERDAM  
 State or Province of Residence::  
 Country of Residence:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Street of Mailing Address:: LE TOURMALET 39

City of Mailing Address:: AMSTERDAM  
 State or Province of Mailing Address::  
 Country of Mailing Address:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Postal or Zip Code of Mailing Address:: NL-1060 NX

Applicant Authority Type:: Inventor  
 Primary Citizenship Country:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Status:: Full Capacity  
 Given Name:: DICK  
 Middle Name::  
 Family Name:: BRANDT  
 City of Residence:: LEIDSCHENDAM  
 State or Province of Residence::  
 Country of Residence:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Street of Mailing Address:: SCHADEKEN 189

City of Mailing Address:: LEIDSCHENDAM  
 State or Province of Mailing Address::  
 Country of Mailing Address:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Postal or Zip Code of Mailing Address:: NL-2264 KL

Applicant Authority Type:: Inventor  
 Primary Citizenship Country:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Status:: Full Capacity  
 Given Name:: ERIK WILHELMUS GILLES  
 Middle Name::  
 Family Name:: VAN WESTBROEK  
 City of Residence:: NOOTDORP  
 State or Province of Residence::  
 Country of Residence:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Street of Mailing Address:: KNOBBELZWAANSINGEL 5

City of Mailing Address:: NOOTDORP  
 State or Province of Mailing Address::  
 Country of Mailing Address:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Postal or Zip Code of Mailing Address:: NL-2633 AG

Applicant Authority Type:: Inventor  
 Primary Citizenship Country:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Status:: Full Capacity  
 Given Name:: MARCO GERARDUS  
 Middle Name::  
 Family Name:: VAN DER VEER  
 City of Residence:: RENKUM  
 State or Province of Residence::  
 Country of Residence:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Street of Mailing Address:: SWAENEPOL 9A

City of Mailing Address:: RENKUM  
 State or Province of Mailing Address::  
 Country of Mailing Address:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Postal or Zip Code of Mailing Address:: NL-6871 TN

Applicant Authority Type:: Inventor  
 Primary Citizenship Country:: THE NETHERLANDS

Status:: Full Capacity  
 Given Name:: FRANK AUKE  
 Middle Name::  
 Family Name:: NAUTA  
 City of Residence:: ZOETERMEER  
 State or Province of Residence::  
 Country of Residence:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Street of Mailing Address:: GOEDENREGENZOOM 154

City of Mailing Address:: ZOETERMEER  
 State or Province of Mailing Address::  
 Country of Mailing Address:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Postal or Zip Code of Mailing Address:: NL-2719 HE

Applicant Authority Type:: Inventor  
 Primary Citizenship Country:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Status:: Full Capacity  
 Given Name:: HELANUS ELISABETH RUDOLPH  
 Middle Name::  
 Family Name:: FRINGS  
 City of Residence:: NOOTDORP  
 State or Province of Residence::  
 Country of Residence:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Street of Mailing Address:: KOEKOEKHOF 9

City of Mailing Address:: NOOTDORP  
 State or Province of Mailing Address::  
 Country of Mailing Address:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Postal or Zip Code of Mailing Address:: NL-2633 AJ

Applicant Authority Type:: Inventor  
 Primary Citizenship Country:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Status:: Full Capacity  
 Given Name:: PETRUS ADRIANUA

Middle Name::  
 Family Name:: BRUIJS  
 City of Residence:: GOUDA  
 State or Province of Residence::  
 Country of Residence:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Street of Mailing Address:: GERSHWINSTRAAT 1

City of Mailing Address:: GOUDA  
 State or Province of Mailing Address::  
 Country of Mailing Address:: THE NETHERLANDS  
 Postal or Zip Code of Mailing Address:: NL-2807 SG

#### Correspondence Information

Correspondence Customer Number:: 000466

#### Representative Information

Representative Customer Number::	000466
----------------------------------	--------

#### Domestic Priority Information

Application::	Continuity Type::	Parent Application::	Parent Filing Date::
This application	National Stage of	PCT/NL00/00473	7/5/00

**Foreign Priority Information**

Country::	Application Number::	Filing Date::	Priority Claimed::
THE NETHERLANDS	1012519	7/5/99	Yes
THE NETHERLANDS	1013177	9/29/99	Yes

**Assignment Information**

Assignee Name::

Street of Mailing Address::

City of Mailing Address::

State or Province of Mailing Address::

Country of Mailing Address::

Postal or Zip Code of Mailing Address::



1001994-1058883  
107019941

JC13 Rec'd PCT/PTO 07 JAN 2002

PATENT  
2001-1003

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Peter Christoffel BEZUIJEN et al.

Appl. No.:

Group:

Filed:

January 7, 2002

Examiner:

For: INSTALLATION AND METHOD FOR UPDATING AN  
ADDRESS DATABASE WITH RECORDED ADDRESS RECORDS

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

The following preliminary amendments and remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above -identified application.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please amend the claims as follows:

--3. (amended) Installation according to Claim 1, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to select name lines from the address data, to split the name lines into individual elements in accordance with predefined rules and partly to base the quality rating on the selection of name lines and the splitting thereof.--

--5. (amended) Installation according to Claim 3, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to derive a

name for an addressee from the name lines, to derive an address for the addressee from the address data, to read registered names of persons residing at that address from the address database and to compare these with the name of the addressee and, on the basis of that comparison, to determine a comparison score per registered name, a comparison score having a higher value the greater the degree of correspondence between the name of the addressee and a respective registered name.--

--7. (amended) Installation according to Claim 5, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to determine that the address data are known if the comparison scores are relatively high and the quality rating is relatively high.--

--8. (amended) Installation according to Claim 5, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to determine that the address data are unknown if the comparison scores are relatively low and the quality rating is relatively low.--

--9. (amended) Installation according to claim 6, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to generate an additional address record, containing the address data, in the address database if the address data are new.--

--11. (amended) Installation according to claim 1, wherein the address database is stored with security, such that either the data stored in the central database can be

processed only via predefined rules or some of the data stored in the central database can be accessed via a predefined output routine.--

--12. (amended) Installation according to claim 1, further comprising post sorting units (26, 28) for automatic sorting of the items of post (1) making use of the address database.--

--15. (amended) Method according to Claim 13, comprising the steps for selecting name lines from the address data, splitting the name lines into individual elements in accordance with predefined rules and partly basing the quality rating on the selection of name lines and the splitting thereof.--

--17. (amended) Method according to Claim 15, comprising the steps for deriving a name for an addressee from the name lines, for deriving an address for the addressee from the address data, reading registered names of persons residing at that address from the address database and comparing these with the name of the addressee and, on the basis of that comparison, determining a comparison score per registered name, a comparison score having a higher value the greater the degree of correspondence between the name of the addressee and a respective registered name.--

--19. (amended) Method according to Claim 17, comprising the step for determining that the address data are known if

the comparison scores are relatively high and the quality rating is relatively high.--

--20. (amended) Method according to Claim 17, comprising the step for determining that the address data are unknown if the comparison scores are relatively low and the quality rating is relatively low.--

--21. (amended) Method according to Claim 18, comprising the step for generating an additional address record, containing the address data, in the address database if the address data are new.--

--23. (amended) Method according to claim 13, wherein the address database is stored with security, such that either the data stored in the central database can be processed only via predefined rules or some of the data stored in the central database can be accessed via a predefined output routine.--

--24. (amended) Method according to claim 13, comprising the step for sorting items of post (1) making use of the address database.--

REMARKS

Claims 1-26 are pending in the present application.

Entry of the above amendments is earnestly solicited.  
An early and favorable first action on the merits is earnestly requested.

Should there be any matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the claims by the current amendment. The attached page is captioned "VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE."

The Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 25 -0120 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG & THOMPSON



---

Benoit Castel, Reg. No. 35,041

745 South 23<sup>rd</sup> Street  
Arlington, VA 22202  
Telephone (703) 521-2297

BC/ia  
Attachments

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADEIN THE CLAIMS:

The claims have been amended as follows:

3. (amended) Installation according to Claim 1 ~~or 2~~, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to select name lines from the address data, to split the name lines into individual elements in accordance with predefined rules and partly to base the quality rating on the selection of name lines and the splitting thereof.

5. (amended) Installation according to Claim 3 ~~or 4~~, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to derive a name for an addressee from the name lines, to derive an address for the addressee from the address data, to read registered names of persons residing at that address from the address database and to compare these with the name of the addressee and, on the basis of that comparison, to determine a comparison score per registered name, a comparison score having a higher value the greater the degree of correspondence between the name of the addressee and a respective registered name.

7. (amended) Installation according to Claim 5 ~~or 6~~, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to determine that the address data are known if the comparison scores are relatively high and the quality rating is relatively high.

8. (amended) Installation according to Claim 5, ~~6 or 7~~, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to determine that

the address data are unknown if the comparison scores are relatively low and the quality rating is relatively low.

9. (amended) Installation according to ~~one of Claims 6 to 8~~, claim 6, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to generate an additional address record, containing the address data, in the address database if the address data are new.

11. (amended) Installation according to ~~one of the preceding claims~~, claim 1, wherein the address database is stored with security, such that either the data stored in the central database can be processed only via predefined rules or some of the data stored in the central database can be accessed via a predefined output routine.

12. (amended) Installation according to ~~one of the preceding claims~~, claim 1, further comprising post sorting units (26, 28) for automatic sorting of the items of post (1) making use of the address database.

15. (amended) Method according to Claim ~~13 or 14~~, comprising the steps for selecting name lines from the address data, splitting the name lines into individual elements in accordance with predefined rules and partly basing the quality rating on the selection of name lines and the splitting thereof.

17. (amended) Method according to Claim ~~15 or 16~~, comprising the steps for deriving a name for an addressee from the name lines, for deriving an address for the addressee from the address data, reading registered names of persons residing at that address

from the address database and comparing these with the name of the addressee and, on the basis of that comparison, determining a comparison score per registered name, a comparison score having a higher value the greater the degree of correspondence between the name of the addressee and a respective registered name.

19. (amended) Method according to Claim ~~17 or 18~~, comprising the step for determining that the address data are known if the comparison scores are relatively high and the quality rating is relatively high.

20. (amended) Method according to Claim 17, ~~18 or 19~~, comprising the step for determining that the address data are unknown if the comparison scores are relatively low and the quality rating is relatively low.

21. (amended) Method according to Claim 18, ~~19 or 20~~, comprising the step for generating an additional address record, containing the address data, in the address database if the address data are new.

23. (amended) Method according to ~~one of Claims 13-22~~, claim 13, wherein the address database is stored with security, such that either the data stored in the central database can be processed only via predefined rules or some of the data stored in the central database can be accessed via a predefined output routine.



24. (amended) Method according to ~~one of Claims 13-23~~, claim  
13, comprising the step for sorting items of post (1) making use  
of the address database.

## Abstract of the Disclosure

Installation and method for updating an address database in a database memory (44) containing recorded address records, making use of the following steps:

- receiving and processing address data as shown on items of post;
- storing the address data;
- the determination of a quality rating for the address data on the basis of predefined criteria;
- comparison of the address data with the address records stored in the database memory (44); and
- updating the content of the database memory ( 44) on the basis of the quality rating and the comparison of the address data with the stored address records.

Fig 1






Fig 3







**Fig 4**



4/4

**Fig 5****Fig 6**

## Installation and method for updating an address database with recorded address records

The present invention relates to an installation for updating an address database with  
5 recorded address records, comprising:

- at least one processor for receiving and processing address data as shown on items of post;
- a memory, connected to the at least one processor, for storing the address data;
- a database memory, connected to the at least one processor, containing the address  
10 database stored therein.

An installation of this type is disclosed in JP-A 9/57204.

JP-A 8/323299 relates to an address database management system that is equipped for updating an address database on the basis of returned post on which corrections have been made. The returned post is read by a reader and corrected data are stored in a memory. The  
15 management system automatically updates the database on the basis of the data given on the returned post. A post sorting machine that is able to sort post in accordance with the corrected address is coupled to the database management system. This document does not relate to updating existing databases without making use of returned post on which corrections have been made.

20 JP-A 9/314067 describes a post sorting device and method. A set-up is shown which comprises means for reading address information from the post and generating an address code on the basis of this address information. As an alternative the address information can be entered manually. The address code is compared with the content of an address information database. If the address code does not precisely correspond to an address in the  
25 database, the address code and possible correct addresses are displayed to the operator. The operator is then able, for example by comparing the name of the addressee on the post with the names of the addressees in the possible correct addresses, to decide which of the latter is correct. This correct address is then printed on the item of post for further automated sorting. This document works on the assumption that the address database is correct.

30 JP-A 9/75862 describes a post sorting machine. This comprises a video coding terminal. The machine operator enters a user's code. The machine evaluates the correctness of the user's code by comparison with a database. This document does not mention updating an address database.

JP-A 9/57204, from which the present claims are delimited, relates to the problem of updating an address database that is used in an automatic post sorting installation.

5 In such a post sorting installation there is a video coding unit which reads items of post and converts the address data into an address code, for example using an optical character recognition unit. The address code is stored in a memory. During the sorting process the address code is compared with address data stored in an address database. If the comparison shows that the address code corresponds to an address stored in the database, this is then accepted as correct for further sorting.

10 Some address codes do not correspond to any address in the database. It is then possible to display the image of the item of post recorded by the video coding unit on a screen. The operator of the post sorting installation then studies the information entered on the screen and inputs by hand, for example via a keyboard, the address that has to be used for the subsequent sorting process. However, the said JP-A 9/57204 also proposes updating of the database itself so that errors and/or cases where address codes do not correspond to an address in the  
15 database will occur increasingly less frequently.

To this end, JP-A 9/57204 in essence proposes the following: the address codes of the items of post which do not correspond to an address in the database are stored in a memory. The associated rejected items of post are removed via a separate line. At a suitable point in time the rejected items of post are fed into the machine again in order to update the database  
20 on the basis of renewed reading. In principle, there can be two different causes for the rejection of the items of post. Firstly, the optical character recognition system may not have recognised one or more characters. Secondly, it can be that all characters have been recognised but that the address code thus established does not correspond to an address in the database.

25 In the first case the image recorded by the video coding unit is displayed to the system operator. On the basis of this image the operator decides how the incorrect characters have to be corrected. The database is optionally corrected or supplemented via pattern recognition of the address thus corrected by hand. In the second case the database is supplemented with the new address information, which is assumed to be correct.

30 The problem that is solved by the present invention relates to updating an address database as automatically as possible. Problems which arise with such an operation are, for example, as follows: the address database will always contain some address data for which the reliability can be improved or which contain small errors. Furthermore, many people are



frequently at a temporary address which is not their home address, for example when they are on holiday or if they have been admitted to hospital for some time. During that period the post for them is sent to an address other than their home address. Nevertheless the address on the post for them is correct and sorting should not be refused. Equally, an address database  
5 should not be modified in such a case. Moreover, many people move without informing the postal delivery services of their new home address in time or in the correct manner. In this case modification of the address database is required. Many people also receive post addressed to different addresses, for example because they have a home address and a business address. Finally, the postal delivery services are not aware of any address at all for  
10 some people (for example children), whilst that would be useful for monitoring the sorting process.

The aim of the application is first of all to avoid these types of problems as far as possible when updating the address database and to obtain data which are as reliable as possible.

15 To this end the installation of the type mentioned in the preamble is characterised in that the at least one processor is equipped to determine a quality rating for the address data on the basis of predefined criteria, to compare the address data with the address records stored in the database memory and to update the content of the database memory on the basis of the quality rating and the comparison of the address data with the stored address records.

20 In the installation of the invention an address database containing recorded address records is thus continually updated on the basis of address data printed on items of post and received by the installation. For this operation these data shown on items of post can optionally be read by people, who then enter these data by hand. Preferably, however, reading of these address data takes place automatically. Automatic reading can be carried out using  
25 any technology known for this purpose. Updating of the address database takes place completely automatically and is not, as in the prior art, based on manual improvement of the address database on the basis of items of post for which the address data read do not correspond to stored address records and which have been rejected by the installation. To this end the installation according to the invention makes use of a quality rating which indicates  
30 how good the address data are and which is determined on the basis of predefined criteria. The installation determines completely automatically whether the quality is such that the address database can be updated on the basis of the address data.

When updating the address database use can be made of address data read

automatically for all items of post. Of course, it is, however, also possible to use a selection of these. For example, consideration can be given to random samples or the avoidance of updating the address database during a specific period in which a great deal of post is submitted for unusable addresses, for example the Christmas period. It is also possible, for example, not to process handwritten address data or to disregard address data on postcards.

The present invention also relates to a method for updating an address database in a database memory containing recorded address records, comprising:

- receiving and processing address data as shown on items of post;
- storing the address data;

10 characterised by

the determination of a quality rating for the address data on the basis of predefined criteria, comparison of the address data with the address records stored in the database memory and updating the content of the database memory on the basis of the quality rating and the comparison of the address data with the stored address records.

15 The present invention also relates to a data carrier provided with a computer program that can be read by a computer installation and, after having been loaded, provides the computer installation with the functionality for updating an address database in a database memory containing recorded address records, making use of the following steps:

- receiving and processing address data as shown on items of post;
- 20 • storing the address data;

characterised by

the determination of a quality rating for the address data on the basis of predefined criteria, comparison of the address data with the address records stored in the database memory and updating the content of the database memory on the basis of the quality rating and the comparison of the address data with the stored address records.

25 The present invention also relates to a computer program that can be read by a computer installation and, after having been loaded, provides the computer installation with the functionality for updating an address database in a database memory containing recorded address records, making use of the following steps:

- 30 • receiving and processing address data as shown on items of post;
- storing the address data;

characterised by

the determination of a quality rating for the address data on the basis of predefined criteria,

comparison of the address data with the address records stored in the database memory and updating the content of the database memory on the basis of the quality rating and the comparison of the address data with the stored address records.

The invention will be explained below with reference to a few figures which are  
5 intended solely to illustrate the invention and not to restrict the scope thereof.

Figure 1 is an example of an item of post that is provided with address data for an addressee and address data for a sender;

Figure 2 is an example of an installation that can be used when updating a database with address records;

10 Figure 3 is a flowchart showing a few steps during the process for updating address records in a database;

Figures 4, 5 and 6 give further details of a few of the steps shown in Figure 3.

Figure 1 shows an example of a letter which is provided with an addressee's address data 2 and a sender's address data 7. The letter 1 can have been provided with a stamp 8.  
15 Instead of a stamp 8 it is also possible for the item to have been franked by machine or the like. As a further alternative the address data can have been provided on the item of post as a supplement or exclusively in the form of a code. Such a code can be a one-dimensional or two-dimensional barcode. The franking value can also have been incorporated in such a code. In that case the code can be termed an "electronic stamp".

20 The addressee's address data comprise, for example, a name line 3, a company name 4, a street address 5 and a town and postcode 6. The sender's address data can be compiled in a similar manner.

Such a letter 1 can be sorted using an automatic sorting machine. An example of this is shown in Figure 2. The letter 1 is, for example, placed on a conveyor belt 10. The conveyor  
25 belt 10 guides the letter 1 past an image scanner 12, which makes an image of that side of the item of post on which the addressee's data 2, and optionally the sender's data 7, are shown. The image scanner 12 sends the recorded image to the processor 14.

After having been scanned by the image scanner 12, the letter 1 is fed by the conveyor belt 10 to a barcode printer 26, which is able to print a barcode on the letter 1. This barcode  
30 contains further details which are used in the final sorting. After having been fed through the barcode printer 26, the letter 1 is fed by the conveyor belt 10 to a sorting machine 28, which reads the printed barcode and on the basis of this feeds the letter 1 into a specific route 30, 32, 34, 36 ... .

The image scanner 12, the barcode printer 26 and the sorting machine 28 are connected to a processor 14. The processor 14 is also connected to means by means of which the operator can enter data, such as a mouse 18 and a keyboard 16. The processor 14 is also connected to suitable memories, including, for example, a hard disk 20 and an image memory 22, in which the processor 14 stores the image containing address data, both with regard to the addressee and with regard to the sender (if applicable), read by the image scanner 12.

The processor 14 is also connected to display means 24, for example in the form of a monitor.

The processor 14 automatically controls the image scanner 12, the barcode printer 26 and the sorting machine 28 for the sorting process. The manner in which this takes place is known per se and requires no further explanation here.

In the set-up according to Figure 2 the processor 14 is connected to a telephone network, for example PSTN 40 (public switched telephone network).

As a supplement, an additional processor 15 can be arranged between processor 14 and the PSTN 40, which additional processor 15 is able to relieve the load on processor 14 by, for example, performing the activities with regard to the transmission and receipt of the data via the PSTN. This can include the buffering of data, the establishment of communication with other processors via the PSTN 40 and in particular the performance of one or more operations which are needed in the framework of the present invention and which are not per se already required for the sorting process itself. For example, for the sorting process it will not be necessary to derive the name of the addressee (company name and/or private individual) or the sender's data 7 from the image provided by the image scanner.

A central processor 42 is also connected to PSTN 40. The central processor 42 is also connected to a central database memory 44.

In Figure 2 it is indicated that the processor 14 is connected (optionally via processor 15) to PSTN 40 via a cable 38 (1) and there are several such connections 38 (2), 38 (3), 38(4), ... . These other connections are intended to indicate that several such set-ups with local processors and local sorting means can be connected to the PSTN 40. They are all able to communicate with the central processor 42 via the PSTN 40.

However, it is also conceivable that the invention is used on a local set-up and that the processor 14, optionally together with processor 15, is directly connected to the central database memory 44.

The central database memory 44 comprises a database containing address records.

Within the context of this invention an address record contains at least one name line 3, a street address 5 and a town and postcode 6. The name on the name line can be the name of an individual but can also be a company name, or both. Sometimes there are two (or more) name lines on which, for example, both the name of an individual and a company name are shown.

5 Of course, it is also possible to incorporate further relevant data in the address record.

Figure 3 shows in broad outline the steps which are taken during updating of address records in the central database memory 44. Roughly the following steps are taken in this operation.

The data on the item of post are submitted to a human and/or mechanical recognition  
10 process with the aim of obtaining data on those involved in the postal service.

The image that is stored in image memory 22 is analysed by processor 14, optionally in combination with processor 15, to establish the position of one or more address blocks relating to the addressee and optionally the sender. Each address block is subjected to analyses in which the nature of the lines in the address block is assessed. Each line in the  
15 address block is segmented, that is to say an assessment is made of the logically coherent elements from which the line is made up, for example 'initials', or 'street address'. Optionally the content of elements found is standardised, various occurrences being displayed in a standard format.

Each assessment that is made in the recognition process results in an assessment score  
20 in which the degree of (un)certainly regarding the recognition result is shown. A poor recognition result may give rise to rejection of the detected result as unusable. In the recognition process use can be made of available verified reference data, in which context consideration can be given to files containing surnames, postcodes, street addresses, towns and collection points found in a specific country, for example The Netherlands. Such  
25 reference data are stored in a memory and can be used for a finer assessment of the recognition and possibly even for correction of previous recognition results. For instance, a postcode which is recognised but does not exist can possibly be improved after matching with the verified file of postcodes that do exist.

Figure 3 will now be explained in more detail.

30 Currently approximately 24 million items of post a day are automatically processed in The Netherlands by means of an automatic post sorting system (APSS) in six different sorting centres. In terms of functionality, one such sorting centre corresponds to the mid section in Figure 2. The image scanner 12 makes a digital image of the address side of each item of post

1, which image is sent to the processor 14, which stores the image in image memory 22. The address in the digital image is localised by the processor 14 and the address is then read automatically. In a first step in the flowchart in Figure 3, "APSS collection" 46, the address data on the items of post are temporarily stored locally in an image memory 22. The characters present in the address data in the image are established with the aid of optical character recognition (OCR) techniques, which are known per se. A character recognition reliability rating can be determined for conversion of the characters present on the items of post to digital characters, which character recognition reliability rating depends on the accuracy of the character on the item of post, the read process by the image scanner 12 and the quality of the OCR process. Handwritten characters will give a greater likelihood of an incorrect result than printed characters.

Preferably, only those address data which have been machine-written on the item of post 1 and for which the postcode/house number combination 6 has been read correctly are used for updating the address data in the central database 44. For the post sorting process itself, as far as possible all data resulting from the OCR process, including those for handwritten addresses, will, of course, be taken into account. The address data on post with handwritten addresses are preferably considered to be insufficiently reliable for updating the address database in the central database memory 44.

To supplement address data which have been derived from recorded images with the aid of OCR techniques, use can be made of data input manually by people. The data concerned here are, for example, data on items of post for which the processor 14 has established that it is impossible automatically to derive existing address data in respect of street address, postcode and town from the characters in the image. Such items of post are rejected during the sorting process and put on one side for manual processing. An employee processes these items of post by hand in as much as he/she reads the address on the image via the monitor 24 and, via the input means 16, 18, manually enters the address which he/she has established, after which the processor 14 is still able to send the correct instructions to the barcode printer 26 and the sorting machine. It is furthermore conceivable that said employee reads the address data on the original item of post 1 him/herself.

It is also conceivable that address data for updating the central database 44 originating from items of post have been entered manually elsewhere, resulting in a data file containing address data which, for example, are is by floppy disk or via the Internet and is submitted to the central processor 42 after it has been read.

The central processor 42 is able to communicate via the network connection PSTN 40 (or via any other suitable communication link) with processor 14 (or 15) in order to request the images stored in the image memory 22. After receipt, the central processor 42 can add any administrative data required to the address data scanned. Administrative data consists, for example, of the sorting centre where the address data were scanned, the date the address data were scanned and a serial number. The central processor 42 is then able to store the scanned address data, which have been received, centrally in any suitable memory. This can be, for example, a memory that is separate from the central database memory 44.

After the "APSS collection step" 46 a segmentation/standardisation step 48 is carried out. As shown in Figure 4, in this step the address data that have been scanned and called up (step 482) are split into relevant elements for each item of post. Name lines 3 are selected from the addressee's address data 2, step 483, and split into elements, step 484. Meanings, such as prefix, first name, title, etc. are assigned to the individual elements. The individual elements can then be standardised, various occurrences being displayed in a standard format. If present, the company name 4 is also analysed. An address record containing surnames and/or company names and associated attributes, such as initials, infixes, suffixes, etc., then results.

In accordance with a predefined algorithm, an overall recognition reliability rating is determined from the data in the address record, step 485. The character recognition reliability rating is incorporated as an element in this overall recognition reliability rating. The overall recognition reliability rating also takes account of any writing errors and/or typing errors in the address data on the item of post itself.

A rating for the quality of the segmentation (splitting into individual elements) within the segmentation process is also determined, step 486. Both the overall recognition reliability rating and the segmentation quality are incorporated in the address record. Both ratings, that is to say overall recognition reliability rating and segmentation quality, are subsequently used when actually updating the address records in the central database memory 44.

Commonness 50 is then carried out by the central processor 42 (see Figure 5). To this end the central processor 42 has a file of surnames occurring in The Netherlands. This file is stored in a memory (not shown) connected to the central processor 42. Each surname that has been split off from the address data with the aid of step 48 is compared with existing surnames in step 501 and is tested for conformity to syntax rules in step 502. The same operation is carried out with first names and any company names present. With the aid of a

predefined algorithm, a commonness rating for each surname, first name and any company name is determined and is added to the address record, step 503.

5 The street address data 5 (or P.O. Box numbers) and the combination of postcode and town 6 are checked by the processor 14 as soon as they have been displayed with the aid of image scanner 12 to determine whether they exist, because these data are needed for the post sorting process. In current practice house number suffixes, such as "top", "back", "second floor", "II", etc., are not checked. In step 52 "addressing" these house number suffixes are standardised with the aid of a list of frequently occurring abbreviations. For example, "TO" ("opp") is synonymous with "tegenover" ("opposite"). "1-hoog" ("first floor") is, for  
10 example, synonymous with "1<sup>e</sup>" ("1<sup>st</sup>") and with "i".

In step 52 the central processor 42 checks whether there are corresponding data in the database stored in the central database memory 44 for each combination of street or road name, number, postcode and town. If this is not the case, the address displayed is "new". This fact is added to the address record scanned (step 522).

15 The central processor 42 then reads from the central database in the central database memory 44 all names recorded in the address (including suffixes) in an address record that has been scanned. The names 3, 4 scanned are compared with the names recorded in the central database. If possible any initials, first names, prefixes, surnames and suffixes are compared with one another. Each name 3, 4 scanned for an addressee is assigned a  
20 comparison score in which the quality and the results of comparisons with the various components of the recorded name, that is to say initials, prefixes, first name, surname, suffixes, etc., are incorporated.

On the basis of the comparison scores, the segmentation quality, the commonness rating and the overall recognition reliability rating for the address data scanned, the central  
25 processor 42 decides whether an address record scanned is new, known or unknown. For this operation use is made of a quality rating which preferably is based on at least one of the following criteria: segmentation quality, the commonness rating and the overall recognition reliability rating. The quality rating can be, for example, the arithmetic mean of these three criteria together. The assignment of the status "new", "known" or "unknown" can, for  
30 example, take place in accordance with the following rules:

- the address data scanned are new if the comparison scores are relatively low and the quality rating is relatively high;
- the address data scanned are known if the comparison scores are relatively high and the



quality rating is relatively high;

- the address data scanned are unknown if the comparison scores are relatively low and the quality rating is relatively low.

The assignment of this status takes place in step 56 "decision".

- 5       The statistics for known address records are updated in the same step. This will be discussed in more detail below.

The central processor 42 can generate a new address record in the central database memory 44 for a new and/or unknown address record.

- 10       The following steps can, for example, be taken for updating statistical data relating to address records already known.

- 15       The frequency with which a data element occurs can be recorded per sorting centre, so that the spread of an address element can be determined. It is also possible to record the date on which an address record was last read in one of the sorting centres. In this way it is possible to record in the central database the dates in, for example, the past year, on which a particular address record occurred somewhere on an item of post. The interval between two successive times that the address record was used on an item of post can then be determined. In order to determine this interval it is possible, for example, to check a recent period or a period in the more distant past. It is also possible to determine the average length of time between two successive times that the address record was read in one of the sorting centres. If  
20       the average period changes substantially in the course of time this can be an indication that the address record has to be amended.

- 25       It is also possible that the sender's address data 7 are recorded and stored in a memory. The sender's data 7 can then be used when determining the value of the quality rating. After all, it can be assumed that items of post which originate from, for example, a local authority's registry office contain very reliable addressee address data 2. Preferably, destination addresses which originate from the database 44 itself are not used to determine the degree of reliability of addresses in the database 44.

- 30       If the senders' address data are stored it is also possible to record whether an address element is frequently despatched from the same address. If a destination address is frequently used from only one sender's address, the reliability does not have to be high. The more senders' addresses that use the same destination address the greater will be the reliability.

On the basis of the data each address record can be assigned a status, for example new, common, reliable or old. For this purpose the following rules can, for example, apply. When

an address record is compiled for the first time it is given the status new. An address record becomes common when it is received several times from different senders and/or from different sorting centres. A common address record can be reliable if the address record is encountered regularly on items of post. The regularity is determined on the basis of the average interval between two successive times that the address record is read on an item of post. It can be determined that a reliable address record reverts to common if the average duration of said interval becomes longer than a predefined period. If an address record is no longer encountered it acquires the status old.

If the interval between recent times that an address record is encountered on an item of post becomes increasingly long this is an indication that, for example, the addressee has moved and it can be decided that the address record should lapse.

#### Example

An example of a recognition process will now be explained. It is presumed that only data where a valid postcode has been read are submitted. The address block read is, for example:

BTT Port Redapersice  
Pohtnus 5838  
5858GJ Riksdijk NL

whilst the following appears on the item of post 1 that has been read:

PTT Post Mediaservice  
Postbus 5858  
5858GJ Rijswijk NL

Each character has been read with a certain recognition reliability and an average recognition reliability rating can thus be determined for each line. This is, for example, 60% for the first line, 75% for the second line and, for example, 65% for the last line. The overall recognition reliability rating could then be the average of 60%, 75% and 65% = 66.6%. As an alternative the first line could also be said to be decisive when determining the recognition reliability.

In this case segmentation leads to three lines. Three words are differentiated in the first line, two words in the second line and again two words, plus a separate attribute, in the third

line. Assuming a postcode and town in the third line of the address, the third line is given a low segmentation score, if only because of the large space between two elements (Riksdijk and NL), of, for example, 40%. The second line ought to contain road or street name and number (and optionally a suffix) and is given a high segmentation score since the structure corresponds to that expected; for example 90%. The first line contains three elements of three, four and eleven characters and thus has a good structure for a surname or a company name. The segmentation score is, for example, 80%. An average segmentation score is 70%.

No known prefixes, infixes or suffixes are encountered in the name line, nor are any titles or anything similar. This indicates that a company name is involved here. In the second line a check is made to establish whether there is a suffix to the house number and if so what this is. In this example there is no suffix and it will be recognised that what is concerned here is a P.O. Box. There is thus little to standardise.

Commonness testing could consist in matching with existing personal or company names. Matching will yield a certain score. The score is 100% in the case of complete correspondence and the score is 0% if completely different. Comparison with the name "PTT Post Mediaservice" could, for example, yield a score of 65%, whilst the results of comparison with "PTT Post Brieven" is 25% and with Sijthof Pers is 0%.

The comparison score can be calculated via a matching algorithm, but then by comparison with names of individuals and/or companies registered at the address read (Postbus 5858). The latter could be, for example, the following companies: PTT Post Mediaservice, DMdata and Dataprofs. The match with PTT Post Mediaservice is 65% and that with DMdata and Dataprofs is 0%. The comparison score with PTT Post Mediaservice is obviously the highest.

A rule-based system will now determine whether in the present case (66.6% reliability, 70% segmentation, 65% commonness, 65% comparison score) the data element must be qualified as known, unknown or new. The requirement for a new data element can be, for example, that a quality rating (for example the average of overall recognition reliability rating, segmentation and commonness) is greater than 80% and that the comparison score is lower than 80%. The requirement for a known data element can be, for example, that the quality rating and the comparison score are higher than 95%. Everything that falls outside this can be qualified as unknown.

#### Further embodiments

Figure 2 shows the situation where the central database memory has one database. However, it is also possible on the basis of the database in the central database memory to make an additional database that contains a selection of the address records from the complete central database. This selection takes place on the basis of specific decision rules.

- 5 For example, only those address records for which the reliability is in excess of a specific threshold value are incorporated in this selection of address records. These reliable address records can then, for example, be made available for use by third parties.

In the case where an additional database containing a selection of the data from the central database memory is provided, there can be a provision that, as an additional decision  
10 rule for inclusion in the additional database memory, selection must be in accordance with the relevant regulations under privacy legislation. In order to store data in accordance with privacy legislation the central database itself can be incorporated in a black box. The data stored therein can then be accessed only via secure output routines, for example likewise incorporated in the black box. In this context it is possible, for example, to provide for the use  
15 of an, optionally public, electronic key.

Thus, in the decision-making process with regard to the usability of an address data element that has been scanned, use is preferably made of (1) the frequency of the occurrence thereof, (2) the variation therein and (3) the spread with regard to senders. In principle, a rule-based system can be set up for this purpose. As an alternative, the central processor 42 can be  
20 provided with a neural network or the like. In general it will be the case that an address data element is the better usable the more recently it has been read on an item of post, if it occurs sufficiently frequently (is common), the greater the number of different senders from which it is received (common) and the higher the quality thereof, for example indicated by the segmentation quality and the recognition reliability rating.

25 An embodiment in which the steps for updating the central database are carried out by the central processor 42 has been described above. As previously mentioned, these steps can, however, also be carried out by the processor 14 (or, optionally, partially by processor 15), specifically when the database to be updated is an address database which exists solely locally and which is stored in a memory that is connected to the processor 14 (or 15).

30 Additional possibilities for the installation and method described are as follows.

The sender's address data 7 can be scanned when the image scanner 12 is used for display. The processor 14 can, for example, establish that the sender's address data 7 correspond to those for PTT POST (or the sorting office) itself. The data originating from the

latter will correspond to the data in the central database memory 44. Such data are preferably not incorporated in the image memory 22 in order to prevent possible errors in the central database memory 44 confirming themselves every time.

Job titles of people are sometimes specified on items of post in addition to titles and the  
5 like for names in name lines 3. These data can also be stored in the central database memory 44. These job titles can be of importance when compiling the said additional database.

Of course, the central database 44 can also be used during the sorting process that has been explained above.

Claims

1. Installation for updating an address database with recorded address records, comprising:

- 5           • at least one processor (14, 15, 42) for receiving and processing address data as shown on items of post;
- a memory (22), connected to the at least one processor (14, 15, 42), for storing the address data;
- 10          • a database memory (44), connected to the at least one processor (14, 15, 42), containing the address database stored therein;

characterised in that

the at least one processor (14, 15, 42) is equipped to determine a quality rating for the address data on the basis of predefined criteria, to compare the address data with the address records stored in the database memory (44) and to update the content of the database memory (44) on

15 the basis of the quality rating and the comparison of the address data with the stored address records.

2. Installation according to Claim 1, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to determine a reliability rating for recognition of the address data and partly to base the quality

20 rating on the reliability rating for recognition.

3. Installation according to Claim 1 or 2, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to select name lines from the address data, to split the name lines into individual elements in accordance with predefined rules and partly to base the quality rating on the selection of name

25 lines and the splitting thereof.

4. Installation according to Claim 3, further comprising stored common names, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to compare the individual elements of the name lines with the common names, to establish a commonness rating on the basis thereof and partly to

30 base the quality rating on the commonness rating.

5. Installation according to Claim 3 or 4, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to derive a name for an addressee from the name lines, to derive an address for the addressee

from the address data, to read registered names of persons residing at that address from the address database and to compare these with the name of the addressee and, on the basis of that comparison, to determine a comparison score per registered name, a comparison score having a higher value the greater the degree of correspondence between the name of the addressee and a respective registered name.

6. Installation according to Claim 5, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to determine that the address data are new if the comparison scores are relatively low and the quality rating is relatively high.

7. Installation according to Claim 5 or 6, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to determine that the address data are known if the comparison scores are relatively high and the quality rating is relatively high.

8. Installation according to Claim 5, 6 or 7, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to determine that the address data are unknown if the comparison scores are relatively low and the quality rating is relatively low.

9. Installation according to one of Claims 6 to 8, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to generate an additional address record, containing the address data, in the address database if the address data are new.

10. Installation according to Claim 9, wherein the at least one processor is equipped to record one of the following four statuses per address record:

- status new, if the address record is generated;
- status common, if the associated address data are received from different senders;
- status reliable, if the associated address data are regularly read afresh;
- status old, if the address record lapses.

11. Installation according to one of the preceding claims, wherein the address database is stored with security, such that either the data stored in the central database can be processed only via predefined rules or some of the data stored in the central database can be accessed via a predefined output routine.

12. Installation according to one of the preceding claims, further comprising post sorting units (26, 28) for automatic sorting of the items of post (1) making use of the address database.

5

13. Method for updating an address database in a database memory (44) containing recorded address records, comprising:

- receiving and processing address data as shown on items of post;
- storing the address data;

10

characterised by

the determination of a quality rating for the address data on the basis of predefined criteria, comparison of the address data with the address records stored in the database memory (44) and updating the content of the database memory (44) on the basis of the quality rating and the comparison of the address data with the stored address records.

15

14. Method according to Claim 13, comprising the step for determining a reliability rating for recognition of the address data and partly basing the quality rating on the reliability rating for recognition.

20

15. Method according to Claim 13 or 14, comprising the steps for selecting name lines from the address data, splitting the name lines into individual elements in accordance with predefined rules and partly basing the quality rating on the selection of name lines and the splitting thereof.

25

16. Method according to Claim 15, comprising the steps for comparing the individual elements of the name lines with common names, establishing a commonness rating on the basis thereof and partly basing the quality rating on the commonness rating.

30

17. Method according to Claim 15 or 16, comprising the steps for deriving a name for an addressee from the name lines, for deriving an address for the addressee from the address data, reading registered names of persons residing at that address from the address database and comparing these with the name of the addressee and, on the basis of that comparison, determining a comparison score per registered name, a comparison score having a higher



value the greater the degree of correspondence between the name of the addressee and a respective registered name.

18. Method according to Claim 17, comprising the step for determining the address data  
5 are new if the comparison scores are relatively low and the quality rating is relatively high.

19. Method according to Claim 17 or 18, comprising the step for determining that the  
address data are known if the comparison scores are relatively high and the quality rating is  
relatively high.

10

20. Method according to Claim 17, 18 or 19, comprising the step for determining that the  
address data are unknown if the comparison scores are relatively low and the quality rating is  
relatively low.

15 21. Method according to Claim 18, 19 or 20, comprising the step for generating an  
additional address record, containing the address data, in the address database if the address  
data are new.

22. Method according to Claim 21, comprising the step for recording one of the following  
20 four statuses per address record:

- status new, if the address record is generated;
- status common, if the associated address data are received from different senders;
- status reliable, if the associated address data are regularly read afresh;
- status old, if the address record lapses.

25

23. Method according to one of Claims 13 - 22, wherein the address database is stored with  
security, such that either the data stored in the central database can be processed only via  
predefined rules or some of the data stored in the central database can be accessed via a  
predefined output routine.

30

24. Method according to one of Claims 13 - 23, comprising the step for sorting items of  
post (1) making use of the address database.

25. Data carrier provided with a computer program that can be read by a computer installation and, after having been loaded, provides the computer installation with the functionality for updating an address database in a database memory (44) containing recorded address records, making use of the following steps:

- 5           • receiving and processing address data as shown on items of post;  
          • storing the address data;

characterised by

- the determination of a quality rating for the address data on the basis of predefined criteria,  
comparison of the address data with the address records stored in the database memory (44)  
10 and updating the content of the database memory (44) on the basis of the quality rating and  
the comparison of the address data with the stored address records.

26. Computer program that can be read by a computer installation and, after having been  
loaded, provides the computer installation with the functionality for updating an address  
15 database in a database memory (44) containing recorded address records, making use of the  
following steps:

- receiving and processing address data as shown on items of post;  
• storing the address data;

characterised by

- 20 the determination of a quality rating for the address data on the basis of predefined criteria,  
comparison of the address data with the address records stored in the database memory (44)  
and updating the content of the database memory (44) on the basis of the quality rating and  
the comparison of the address data with the stored address records.

## (12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
11 January 2001 (11.01.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 01/02104 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **B07C 3/14**

(21) International Application Number: **PCT/NL00/00473**

(22) International Filing Date: **5 July 2000 (05.07.2000)**

(25) Filing Language: **Dutch**

(26) Publication Language: **English**

(30) Priority Data:  
1012519 **5 July 1999 (05.07.1999) NL**  
1013177 **29 September 1999 (29.09.1999) NL**

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **PTT POST HOLDINGS B.V.** [NL/NL]; Prinses Beatrixlaan 23, NL-2595 AK Den Haag (NL).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **BEZUIJEN, Peter, Christoffel** [NL/NL]; Le Tourmalet 39, NL-1060 NX Amsterdam (NL). **BRANDT, Dick** [NL/NL]; Schadeken 189, NL-2264 KL Leidschendam (NL). **VAN WESTBROEK,**

**Erik, Wilhelmus, Gilles** [NL/NL]; Knobbeltzwaansingel 5, NL-2633 AG Nootdorp (NL). **VAN DER VEER, Marco, Gerardus** [NL/NL]; Swaenepol 9A, NL-6871 TN Renkum (NL). **NAUTA, Frank, Auke** [NL/NL]; Goedenregenzoom 154, NL-2719 HE Zoetermeer (NL). **FRINGS, Helanus, Elisabeth, Rudolph** [NL/NL]; Van Bleiswijkstraat 83, NL-2582 LA Den Haag (NL). **BRUIJS, Petrus, Adrianus** [NL/NL]; Gershwinstraat 1, NL-2807 SG Gouda (NL).


(74) Agent: **JORRITSMA, Ruurd**; Nederlandsch Octrooibureau, Scheveningeweg 82, P.O. Box 29720, NL-2502 LS The Hague (NL).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: **INSTALLATION AND METHOD FOR UPDATING AN ADDRESS DATABASE WITH RECORDED ADDRESS RECORDS**



(57) Abstract: Installation and method for updating an address database in a database memory (44) containing recorded address records, making use of the following steps: receiving and processing address data as shown on items of post; storing the address data; the determination of a quality rating for the address data on the basis of predefined criteria; comparison of the address data with the address records stored in the database memory (44); and updating the content of the database memory (44) on the basis of the quality rating and the comparison of the address data with the stored address records.

Fig 1







Fig 3





**Fig 2**



3/4

**Fig 4**

4/4

**Fig 5****Fig 6**

20010941

**COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY**

(ORIGINAL DESIGN, NATIONAL STAGE OF PCT OR CIP APPLICATION)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

**Installation and method for updating an address database with recorded address records**

the specification of which: (complete (a), (b) or (c) for type of application)

**REGULAR OR DESIGN APPLICATION**

a. ☐ is attached hereto.

b. ☐ was filed on  
Serial No.

(if applicable)

as Application  
and was amended on

**PCT FILED APPLICATION ENTERING NATIONAL STAGE**

c. ☒ was described and claimed in International application No. PCT/NL00/00473  
filed on 5 July 2000  
and as amended on

(if any)

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REVIEW OF PAPERS AND DUTY OF CANDOR**

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, paragraph 1.56(a).

In compliance with this duty there is attached an information  
disclosure statement 37 CFR 1.97

**PRIORITY CLAIM**

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code paragraph 119 of any foreign application (s) for patent of inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent of inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.



8.APR.2002 16:02

NED.OCTROOIBUREAU 31 70 3527528

NO.945

P.3/9

50 41677

(complete (d) or (e))

- d. ☐ no such applications have been filed  
 e. ☒ such applications have been filed as follows

**EARLIEST FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY FILED WITHIN 12 MONTHS  
 (6 MONTHS FOR DESIGN) PRIOR TO SAID APPLICATION**

Country	Application Number	Date of filing (day, month, year)	Date of Issue (day, month, year)	Priority claimed
The Netherlands	1012519	5 July 1999		Yes
The Netherlands	1013177	29 September 1999		Yes

**ALL FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY FILED MORE THAN 12 MONTHS  
 (6 MONTHS FOR DESIGN) PRIOR TO SAID APPLICATION**

**CONTINUATION-IN-PART**

(Complete this part only if this is a continuation-in-part application)

I hereby declare claim the benefit under Title 35, United States code, paragraph 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claim of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, paragraph 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, paragraph 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Serial No.) (Filing date) (Status) (patented, pending, abandoned)

(Application Serial No.) (Filing date) (Status) (patented, pending, abandoned)

**POWER OF ATTORNEY**

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Robert J. PATCH, Reg. No. 17,355, Andrew J. PATCH, Reg. No. 32,925, Robert F. HARGEST, Reg. No. 25,590, Benoît CASTEL, Reg. No. 35,041, Eric Jensen, Reg. No. 37,855, and Thomas W. PERKINS, Reg. No. 33,027 and Roland E. Long, Jr. Reg. No. 41,949 c/o YOUNG & THOMPSON, Second Floor, 745 South 23rd Street, Arlington, Virginia 22202.

Address all telephone calls to Young & Thompson at 703/521-2297.

8. APR. 2002 16:02

NED. OCTROOIBUREAU 31 70 3527528

NO. 945

P. 4/9

ED 42677

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that wilful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such wilful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full name of sole or first inventor: BEZUIJEN, Peter Christoffel

Inventor's signature



Date April 5, 2002


Country of Citizenship: The Netherlands

Residence: AMSTERDAM, The Netherlands NLX

Post Office Address: Le Tourmalet 39, NL-1060 NX AMSTERDAM, The Netherlands

Full name of second inventor: BRANDT, Dick

Inventor's signature



Date April 5, 2002


Country of Citizenship: The Netherlands

Residence: LEIDSCHENDAM, The Netherlands NLX

Post Office Address: Schadeken 189, NL-2264 KL LEIDSCHENDAM, The Netherlands

Full name of third inventor: VAN WESTBROEK, Erik Wilhelmus Gilles

Inventor's signature



Date April 5, 2002

Country of Citizenship: The Netherlands

Residence: NOOTDORP, The Netherlands NLX

Post Office Address: Knobbeltwaansingel 5, NL-2633 AG NOOTDORP, The Netherlands

8.APR.2002 16:03

NED.OCTROOIBUREAU 31 70 3527528

NO.945

P.5/9 42677 |

4w Full name of fourth inventor: VAN DER VEER, Marco Gerardus

Inventor's signature



Date April 5, 2002

Country of Citizenship: The Netherlands

Residence: RENKUM, The Netherlands

Post Office Address: Swanepol 9A, NL-6871 TN RENKUM, The Netherlands NLXFull name of fifth inventor: NAUTA, Frank Auke

Inventor's signature




sw Date April 5, 2002

Country of Citizenship: The Netherlands

Residence: ZOETERMEER, The Netherlands

Post Office Address: Goudenregenzoom 154, NL-2719 HE ZOETERMEER, The Netherlands NLXuw Full name of sixth inventor: FRINGS, Helanus Elisabeth Rudolph

Inventor's signature




Date April 5, 2002

Country of Citizenship: The Netherlands

Residence: NOOTDORP, The Netherlands NLXPost Office Address: Koekoekhof 9, NL-2633 AJ NOOTDORP, The NetherlandsFull name of second inventor: BRUIJS, Peter Adrianus

Inventor's signature



mw Date April 5, 2002

Country of Citizenship: The Netherlands

Residence: GOUDA, The Netherlands NLXPost Office Address: Gershwinstraat 1, NL-2807 SG GOUDA, The Netherlands

CHECK PROPER BOX(ES) FOR ANY ADDED PAGE(S) FORMING A PART OF THIS DECLARATION